

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/667,106	09/19/2003	T. Christian A. Schlumbrecht	A03166US (98797.1)	8535
22920	7590 07/13/2004		EXAM	INER
	MITH NEHRBASS & D	FERNSTROM, KURT		
THREE LAKEWAY CENTER 3838 NORTH CAUSEWAY BLVD., SUITE 3290 METAIRIE, LA 70002		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3712		

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

:	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/667,106	SCHLUMBRECHT, T. CHRISTIAN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kurt Fernstrom	3712				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar		osecution as to the ments				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.	•	<b>5</b>				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers	•	Ö				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Decimal 11						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	· :	· 有效的 (1)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive					
	,					
Attachment(s)	,, <b>—</b> , — , — ,					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🛄 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/9/04</u> .	6)					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/667,106

Art Unit: 3712

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which, applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1, step (g) recites the step of paying each "winning player" against the house even odds for the ante wager, but doe not recite how a winning player is judged – whether the house has a hand against which the player's hand is compared, or whether a certain fixed hand (such as Jacks or better) is considered to be a winning hand. Also, claim 1 recites "the house/bank" in line 3. This limitation lacks antecedent basis, as a house or bank was not previously recited as part of the claimed invention. Also, claim 8 recites a "pot water" rather than a pot wager, due to an apparent typographical error.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/667,106

Art Unit: 3712

only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by deKeller (US 6,467,771). DeKeller discloses in column 5, line 46 to column 7, line 25 of the specification a method of playing a poker game comprising the steps of requiring an ante wager and a separate pot wager of each player, dealing a poker hand to each player, and making payouts for the ante bet and awarding the pot based on the poker hands. DeKeller further discloses in column 6, lines 39-46 that a player is paid base on a pay table, and also that when a player has a certain hand (two pair) even odds against the house are paid for the ante wager. DeKeller further discloses in column 6, lines 1-12 that three community cards are dealt, and a flop wager is optionally made by each player. With respect to claims 6-8, house rules inherently predetermine the amounts of ante wagers, flop wagers and pot wagers in casino poker games.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over deKeller. DeKeller discloses all of the limitations of the claims with the exception of the precise configurations of player cards and community cards. Each of the claimed conficgurations is an obvious variation on the disclosure of deKeller, particularly given

Application/Control Number: 10/667,106

Art Unit: 3712

that it is known to vary the numbers of player cards and community cards, as well as the numbers of cards dealt face-up or face-down. DeKeller discloses in column 3, lines 18-37 different variations on the cards dealt.

### **Conclusion**

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hesse and Fertitta disclose poker games.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kurt Fernstrom whose telephone number is (703) 305-0303. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (703) 308-1745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KF July 8, 2004 Kettet Kurt Ferston